



Best Practices and Lessons Learned

Presented by: Mary Louise Vitelli, Esq. March 12, 2013





Legal Framework for Minerals Contracts

Policy

+

Law

Minerals Contract

十

Regulations





Topics of Extractive Industry Contracts

Commonly referred to as "Minerals Contracts"

Minerals
Oil
Natural Gas
Coal





Types of Extractive Industry Contracts

Minerals

- License
- Development Agreements/Contracts
- Offtake Agreement

Oil & Natural Gas

- Production Sharing Agreements (PSAs)
- Concession
- Field Service Contracts





License

Legal authorization that conveys "mineral tenure" and may include:

- Prospecting
- Exploration
- Artisanal/Small-Scale mining
- Exploitation
- Retention

Licenses may define limits by size of area), amount of production, type of machinery/equipment used, number of employees, etc.

SPC-EU Deep Sea Minerals Project





Minerals Development Agreement/Contract

A written document which is enacted by the State and a legal person for the purpose of exploration and development of minerals and exploitation activities in which the rights and obligations of each party are stipulated in accordance with the provisions of applicable law.





Offtake Agreement

An agreement between a producer of a resource and a buyer of a resource to purchase/sell portions of the producer's future production.

- Typically negotiated prior to the construction of a facility (i.e., mine) to secure a market for the future output
- Natural resource development with high capital costs
- Company wants sales guarantee
- "Get out" clauses may be included in contract





Production Sharing Agreement (PSA)

An "alternative contract structure" (*late 1960s by Indonesia*) that gives foreign companies the right to extract oil on predefined terms for a long period of time (i.e., 25-40 years)

Rather than compensating the State for oil/natural gas a company extracts and takes, in a PSA companies are labelled as "contractors" and the State "compensates" them for their investment and operating costs, giving them a share of the profits.





Concession

A traditional form of contract that grants the company the oil/natural gas it extracts for which the company compensates the State through taxes and royalties.





NOTE:

PSA and Concession seem very different – but...

- Terminology differs but financially alike
- Symbolic and philosophical interpretations
- •Both serve more of a political function than anything.

Example: Where foreign ownership of oil (i.e., Iraq) is negative, use of the term PSA is preferred.





Field Services Contract

Specialty firms with "niche" expertise hired by Mining Companies to conduct specific activities usually on time and materials basis (actual cost plus overhead + profit), i.e., seismic studies, well testing, deep water exploration.





Good and Service Contracts

Cover a broad range of support to minerals development that the Mining Company may not wish to do, does not have capacity to do or finds it more economically or socially prudent to outsource.

From technical aspects such as mine design, seismic mapping, and mine construction/well works to provision of cafeteria and transport services.





General Mining Rights conferred in Minerals Contracts

| Agreement | General Rights |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Minerals Development Agreement | Exploration AND Exploitation |
| License | Exploration OR Exploitation |
| Production Sharing Agreement | Extraction for long-period of time |
| Concession | Extraction and compensate State |
| Field Services Contract | None: conduct limited technical activities |
| Goods and Services Contracts | None: conduct specific activities |





Today's Focus: Minerals Development Contracts

- 1. What elements are in place to trigger use of such contract?
- 2. How are such contracts awarded?
- 3. Whom should be party to such contract?
- 4. What are the institutional roles in design and implementation of such contract?





1. Triggering a Minerals Contract

| Rank | Decision criteria for Mining Investment |
|------|---|
| 1 | Geological potential for target mineral |
| 2 | Security of Tenure |
| 3 | Ability to repatriate profits |
| 4 | Consistency and constancy of mineral policies |
| 5 | Company has management control |
| 6 | Mineral ownership |
| 7 | Realistic foreign-exchange regulations |
| 8 | Stability of exploration/mining terms |
| 9 | Ability to predetermine tax liability |
| 10 | Ability to predetermine environmental obligations |
| 11 | Stability of fiscal regime |
| 12 | Ability to raise external financing |





1. Triggering a Minerals Contract

Why use a Minerals Development Agreement?

It is a tool that serves as a basis of negotiations to improve investment agreements.

- 1. "Mature" minerals laws, regulations, codes not sufficiently in place; and/or
- 2. Existing mineral laws, regulations, codes require or will benefit from supplemental details in a contract
- 3. Template for terms with State-owned mining entities rights and obligations of government and investors.





- 1. By Application (rare)
- 2. State-owned Mining Company awarded license
- 3. Preferred Mining Company/Investor awarded license
- 4. Tender Process





- 1. Reservation of Area for Tender
- 2. Preparation of "Transaction Documents"
- 3. Clear Tender stages
- 4. Contract Negotiation and Award
- 5. Monitoring and Evaluation of Contract





- 1. Reservation of Area for Tender (Government)
- Ensure that area is not under license.
- b. Optimally some geo-science data is in place.
- c. Social and environmental issues have been considered.





- 2. Preparation of "Transaction Documents" (Government)
- Information Memorandum (general overview)
- b. Model Contract
- c. Available Geo-science Data
- d. "Rules of the Tender" including stages of tender
- e. Review of legal and institutional framework





Tender Process Summary

3. Clear Tender stages (Government)

- a. Ideally 2-stage process based on clear criteria
 - (1) Pre-qualification
 - (2) Selection and award
- b. Both stages allow "site visits"
- c. Questions and Clarifications
- d. Evaluation and Selection





Tender Process Summary

4. Contract Negotiation and Award (Company/Gov't) Negotiation

- a. Selected Company comes to town (can be 1-2 years!)
- b. Reconciliation of related issues, i.e.,
 - social and environmental issues
 - ii. fiscal terms/taxes/customs
 - iii. infrastructure
 - iv. security





Tender Process Summary

Contract Negotiation and Award (Company/Gov't)Award

- a. Inter-Government Committee review may be required
- b. Cabinet Review and Approval may be required
- c. Parliamentary Ratification may be required
- d. Application/pursuit of necessary permits (i.e., environmental, construction, labor)





- 5. Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation of Contract (Company/Government)
 - a. Clear institutional roles
 - b. Definite benchmarks for performance
 - c. Agreed timelines for performance
 - d. Agreed and published penalties and enforcement





3. Whom Should be a Party to a Minerals Contract

- 1. Government
- 2. Mining Company
- 3. State Mining Company
- 4. Consortia of Companies
- 5. Individual





4. Institutional Roles in Minerals Contract

Example of Ministerial Institutional Oversight

| Government Institution | Role/Responsibility |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Ministry of Mines | Overall sector regulation/contract monitoring |
| Ministry of Finance | Fiscal terms, financial reporting/payments |
| Ministry of Environment | Environmental compliance/remediation |
| Ministry of Labor | Worker protection |
| Ministry of Social Protection | Resettlement, Land Rights, Social impacts |
| Ministry of Culture | Discovery of Cultural and Historical Relics, etc. |
| Ministry of Interior | Where applicable, security |
| Ministry of Energy | Electricity supply |
| Ministry of Urban Planning | Local development, infrastructure |
| Ministry of Transport | Construction of roads, rail, ports |
| Ministry of Foreign Affairs | International contracts' review |





4. Institutional Roles in Minerals Contracts

Additional/Possible Institutional Oversight

| Institution | Potential Role |
|---------------------------|--|
| Office of the President | Review/approval of contract |
| Cabinet | Review/approval of contract |
| Special Commissions | Review/approval of contract |
| Parliament | Review/ratification of contract |
| Inter-Governmental Bodies | Facilitation/review/approval |
| Attorney General | Enforcement of contract terms |
| Inspectorate (financial) | Audit/enforcement of financial commitments |





4. Institutional Roles in Minerals Contracts

Illustrative Technical Institutional Oversight

| Institution | Potential Role |
|----------------------------|--|
| Ministry of Mines | Cadastre (licensing, reporting) Inspectorate (safety, health) Survey (geo-science data) Ground Water Permits |
| Ministry of Environment | Environmental Permits |
| Ministry of Urban Planning | Construction Permits |
| Ministry of Transport | Transport Permits |





Pros and Cons of Minerals Development Agreements

| PROs | CONs |
|---|--|
| Long-term agreement on key terms | In retrospect the deal always could have been better |
| Clear statement of requirements | Poor negotiations can result in mediocre terms |
| Inputs from key stakeholders | Monitoring & enforcement of various commitments can be onerous |
| Government has clear idea of terms | Political, social, economic priorities may change over time |
| Demonstrates to other potential investors certainty of investment | Any change in the deal can result in bad PR |





Thank you.

mlvdc@aol.com